

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/733,104	12/07/2000		Steven Teig	SPLX.P0004	2615	
23349	7590	04/17/2003				
STATTLE	R JOHAN	SEN & ADELI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 51			CHU, CHRIS C			
PALO ALTO, CA 94303				ciro, cindo c		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/733,104	TEIG ET AL.						
ravicery riesen	Examiner	Art Unit	_					
	Chris C. Chu	2815						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 April 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 17</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant does not specifically define in the rejected claims that the Manhattan or Manhattan wiring direction is a horizontal line direction. Also, applicant should notes that the usual meaning of the term "Manhattan" is a rectangular or right-angle rectilinear. Since Fig. 10 and Fig. 14 do not show the rectangular or rightangle rectilinear line coupled to a conductor deposed in the preferred diagonal wiring direction, the objection to the drawings and the 112 1st rejection are maintained. Further, applicant should notes that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a horizontal line to read as the Manhattan direction) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. Furthermore, Juengling discloses in Fig. 8 and column 1, lines 15 and 16 an IC with at least two sections (left-down and left-up sides of 817)that each have a different preferred wiring direction (805 for down direction and 801L ~ 804L for up direction). Finally, applicant should notes that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced.

PRIMARY EXAMINER